STATUS OF THE PIPING PLOVER IN MASSACHUSETTS

1995 SUMMARY

Prepared by:

Scott M. Melvin
Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
Rt. 135, Westborough, MA 01581

March 1996

STATUS OF THE PIPING PLOVER IN MASSACHUSETTS - 1995 SUMMARY

Observers reported a total of 441 breeding pairs of Piping Plovers (Charadrius melodus) at 79 sites in Massachusetts in 1995 (Table 1). Breeding pairs are defined as pairs observed with either a nest or unfledged chicks or that exhibit site tenacity and evidence of pair bonding and territoriality. Overall observer effort in 1995, measured as number of sites surveyed and intensity of census effort at each site, was roughly comparable to previous efforts conducted annually since 1986. At least 9 pairs that established territories or nested unsuccessfully were believed to have moved to new sites and renested or at least established new territories between late May and early July. These pairs were included in counts of pairs at both sites where they occurred, but were tallied only once in regional and state totals for numbers of pairs and numbers of pairs for which fledging data were reported (Table 1).

The 1995 total of 441 pairs is the highest count of Piping Plovers recorded in Massachusetts since comprehensive statewide surveys began in 1985, and represents an increase of 89 pairs (25%) over the 1994 count of 352 pairs (Table 2). Number of pairs increased in 8 of 9 regions of the state (Table 3). The Lower Cape from Chatham to Provincetown continued to support the greatest abundance of birds with 165 pairs, 37% of the Massachusetts population.

In addition to estimating total pairs present during the breeding season, cooperators also censused pairs at all occupied sites and 35 historic or potential sites during this year's standardized "index count" period between 27 May and 4 June. The intent of the index count is to minimize double-counting of pairs that move between sites, thereby providing an index to population trends that is more precise than the total count. The 1995 index count was 413 pairs, 94% of the total count of 441 pairs and a 26% increase over the 1994 index count of 329 pairs.

Maximum numbers of pairs occurred at Crane Beach (28), Sandy Neck (25), South Beach-Chatham (30), and Parker River National Wildlife Refuge (21). Fourteen sites had \geq 10 pairs and accounted for 52% of all pairs.

Overall mean productivity for Massachusetts in 1995 was 1.62 chicks fledged per pair, based on data from 426 of 441 pairs (97%) at 76 of 79 sites (96%) (Table 2). This is the lowest productivity since 1990 (Table 2) and represents a 10% decline from the statewide average of 1.80 chicks fledged per pair in 1994. Five regions of the state averaged < 1.5 chicks fledged/pair (Table 3). A chick was considered fledged if it survived \geq 25 days or was observed in flight, whichever occurred

first.

Cooperators reported data on reproductive success for 555 nest attempts by 425 pairs. Nest success was 0.61 (337 of 555 nests hatched at least 1 egg). Hatching success was 0.57 (1,152 of 2,021 eggs hatched) and fledging success was 0.60 (688 of 1,150 chicks survived to fledge). The fate of 2 chicks was undetermined.

Abandonment was the most common cause of nest loss identified, followed by overwash or flooding from high tides and heavy rains, and predation by foxes (Table 4). Of particular concern was an apparent "smart" fox that depredated 10 nests inside exclosures at Nauset Spit in Orleans. In all instances, fox tracks approached the exclosure, a hole had been ripped through the netting on top, fox tracks were inside the exclosure, all eggs were gone with no shell fragments left, and a hole was dug under the exclosure.

Ten percent of nests in exclosures were abandoned (37 of 353 nests) compared to 6% of nests without exclosures (12 of 202). Cooperators reported that causes of abandonment could not be determined for 32 of 49 (65%) abandoned nests (Table 5). At 6 exclosures, predation on one of the adults was determined to be the cause of nest abandonment, and evidence suggested that abandonment at 7 other enclosed nests was caused by disturbance from predators or competitors.

Wire predator exclosures were used to protect 353 of 555 nests (64%) (Table 6). Nest success (percentage of nests hatching \geq 1 egg) was 77% for nests within exclosures compared to 44% for nests without exclosures. Similarly, 71% of eggs protected with exclosures hatched compared to only 42% of unprotected eggs (Table 7).

We extend our sincere thanks to all the biologists, beach managers, landowners, and concerned individuals that participated in conservation efforts for Piping Plovers and other coastal birds in Massachusetts in 1995.

Table 1. Abundance, distribution, and productivity of Piping Plovers in Massachusetts, 1995.

MH	3	9	3	3	zeringhill Beach, Sandwich
MH	τ	ε	τ	τ	Town Neck Beach, Sandwich
KB'rc	3	9	3	3	. Popponesset Spit, Mashpee
ΩCુ, KB, LG	3	8	Þ	ε	gonfh Cape Beach, Mashpee
B'BH' ZN'BL	3 HI	8	3	3	Washburn Island, Falmouth
eH're	-	_	0	0	Woodneck Beach, W. Falmouth
eh're	_	_	0	0	why Black Beach/Sippewisset, W. Falmouth
			_	-	UPPER CAPE
					napan cana
MH	£	8	ε	ε	$h = \int d d \mathbf{g} \cos d \mathbf{r}$ gadamore
MH	7	τ	S	2	Filisville State Park, Plymouth
JC, MZ, SPa	S	6	S	G G	Area of the first film
WC MC	- /	ħΤ	/	<u> </u>	* blymouth Beach, buxbuty Tymouth
DM MC	<i>L</i>	γι -	0	<i>L</i>	# Duxbury Beach, Duxbury
ЭМ	_		0	0 0	# Fourth Cliff, Scituate
ON.	-	_	U	U	Last Third Cliff, Scituate
					SOUTH SHORE
DВ	<u></u>	рu	рu	0	goog Harbor Beach, Gloucester
ВG	-	pu	рu	Ö	S Wingaersheek Beach, Gloucester
-	_	рu	рu	,pu	Coffin's Beach, Gloucester
DВ	286	79	286	7.7	SC Crane Beach, Ipswich
си, ка	3.6	6	36	Ţ	County Torne Des Trees, Thewten
GN, RS	S I.	b b	S Te	12	34 Sandy Point St. Res., Ipswich
си, ка	37c Sc	0	21¢ Sc	2	33 Parker River NWR, Newbury/Rowley
DG ND	3 C	U	3C	C	Newbury Newbury
Вр	τ-	•	-	~	32 Plum Island-North End,
ua	Τc	0	$T_{\mathbf{c}}$	τ	363/Salisbury Beach, Salisbury
					иовти внове
gonzce	даға кероктед	Ţςeqdeq.	aunoa	211700	<u> </u>
p===:.55	which fledge		conur	-junoo	Location
		сутска	Total	Index	r
	No. pairs for	.oM			
			sried lo	<u>Nnwper c</u>	
					·

Table 1. Continued.

	Number o	of pairs	No.	No. pairs for	
Togghian	Index	Total	chicks	which fledge	
Location	count	count	fledged	data reported	Source
East Sandwich Beach, Sandwich	0	0			
Scorton Creek, Sandwich	5	6	_	<u> </u>	ES
Sandy Neck, Barnstable	20	25	5	6	ES
Sampson's IsDead Neck, Barnstable	4	25	49	25	ES
Dowse's Beach, Osterville	nd	0	8	4	DS,SS,LG
Long Beach, Centerville	2	0	_	-	SF, LG, HB
Squaw Island, Hyannisport	2. A	2	2	2	SF,LG
Kalmus Park Beach, Hyannis	1	4	2	4	LG,SH
Gray's Beach, Yarmouth	1	Ţ	1	1	LG
Seagull Beach/Radio City, Yarmouth	3	5	6	5	LG
Great Island, Yarmouth	2	. 2	4	2	LG,SH
West Dennis Beach, Dennis	3	4	13	4	LG
Chapin Beach, Dennis	0	0	-	-	LG
Town Landings, Dennis	2	2	4	2	LG
Corporation Beach, Dennis	nd	nd	nd	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Sesuit Beach, Dennis	nd	nd	nd	_	_
Quivett Neck Beach, Dennis	nd	nd	nd	-	_
Wings Island, Brewster	0	0 .			нв
Pobbing Will Pageb Provide	nd	nd	nd	<u> </u>	
Robbins Hill Beach, Brewster	nd	nd	nd	_	_
Town Beach, Brewster	nd	nd	nd ·	_	_
Ellis Launching Beach, Brewster	nd	nd	nd	-	
LOWER CAPE					
Forest Beach, Chatham	0	m al	_		
Cockle Cove, Chatham	0	nd	nd	-	JR
Harding Beach, Chatham	1	nd	nd	- .	JR
Harding Beach Point, Chatham	Ţ	1	3	1	JR,WL
North Monomoy Island, Chatham	U	0	- ·	-	JR, WL
South Monomoy Island, Chatham	U	0	-	-	SW
South Beach, Chatham B	11	14	13	14	SW
boden beden, chacham	25	30	37	30	JR,WL

_		
	_	
	_	

-	-	рu	рu	рu	Sod rond Beach Point, Wareham
EZ	_	-	0	0	ээгсоих Ботис Dike, Wareham
					PAE SURANGE BAY
ЕН	6	91	6	6	Scarrond boint/Wood End, Provincetown
ЕН	9τ	52	91	ST	yid Race Point-North Beach, Provincetown
ЕН	LT	24	L٦	9τ	Provincetown/Trurok
***					Acce Point-South Beach,
ЕН	8	24	8	8	"High Head/Head of the Meadow, Truro
EH	τ	0	τ	τ	J∛rongnook Beach, Truro
на	2	Þ	7	2	ballston Beach/Newcomb Hollow, Truro
IS IS	-	-	0	0	் bilgrim Beach, Truro
SF, SM	-		0	0	Loud Village Beach, Truro
SE	_	· ••	0	0	et Corn Hill Beach, Truro
AS	-		0 ,	0	🥯 Веасh Роіпt, Тruro
AS	_	-	0	0	िРамет Нагрог, Тruro
КЛ	ττ	20	TT	ττ	dlueremy Point/Great Island, Wellfleet
K1	οτ	LZ	στ	οτ	ુરુંી Marconi Beach, Wellfleet
Кl	i 6	L	_! 6	_! 6	%{Coast Guard Beach, Eastham
-		ри	pu	pu	Serret Encounter Beach, Eastham
нз'эм	_i 6	9	<u>,</u> 6	ⁱ 8	§γ Plover Island, Orleans/Eastham ^h
-	-	pu	рu	pu	ховск нагрог веаср, огдеапя
-	_	pu	рu	рu	¿¿ гкакет Веась, Отleans
HS	-		0	0	/New Island, Orleans
MG	7.5	LΤ	75	75	(3 Nauset Spit (Heights), Orleans
MG	ττ	ÞΤ	ττ	6	%умаизет Веасh, Отleans
MG	S	7	S	g	% Ививет Веаср, Сћатћат
SE	Ţ	τ	<u>T</u>	τ	No 🕄 Tern Island, Chatham
gonkce	gara reported	ĮЈеддед	conuç	conuç	Location
	myrcy tjegde	сутска	Тота1	xəpuI	40,400
	No. pairs for	ON	· ·		
	•		T halls	Taguna	

Number of pairs

Table 1. Continued.

	Number	of pairs		
Location	Index count	Total count	No. chicks fledged	No. pairs for which fledge data reported Source
Dittle Harbor Beach, Wareham	nd	nd	nd	
West Island, Fairhaven	2	2	5	
Winsegansett Heights, Fairhaven	0	0	- -	2 JHi, BR, AT, EM
Round Hill Beach, Dartmouth	0	0	_	- JHi
gg Salter's Pond, Dartmouth	1	1	0	- JHi, BR, AT
Demarest-Lloyd State Park, Dartmouth	1	1	-	1 JHi, BR, AT, EM
Little Beach/Barney's Joy, Dartmouth	14	14	2	1 JHi, BR, AT, EM
©Gooseberry Neck, Westport	1	14	30	14 JHi, BR, AT, EM
Horseneck Beach, Westport	11	11	0	1 JHi, BR, AT, EM
Acoaxet, Westport	0		18	JHi, BR, AT, EM
Cockeast Pond, Westport	1	0		- JHi, BR, AT, EM
Richmond Pond, Westport	1	1	2	1 JHi, BR, AT, EM
Bay Point, Swansea	0	2	0	2 JHi, BR, AT, EM
	U	0	-	- AW
ELIZABETH ISLANDS				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Naushon Island	•			
Pasque Island	0	0	-	- JHa
Nashawena Island	5	5	nd	- ЈНа
Cuttyhunk Island	7	7	4.	7 KSp
	4	4	nd:	- SM, BB, DP, MG, HW
MARTHA'S VINEYARD			!	200/22/22/110/1111
Harthaven, Oak Bluffs	2	21	2	2 ¹ DS HD
22 Eel Pond/Little Beach, Edgartown	1	1	0	20,110
Sylvia State Beach, Edgartown	2	31	1	DS,RR
Norton Point Beach, Edgartown	11	11	21	DO, RC, ND
Wasque, Chappaquiddick	0	0	 	RC, HD, DS
C'Leland/East Beaches, Chappaquiddick	6	6	_ A	KSp
Arruda's Pt./The Jetties, Chappaquiddick	. 4	4	4	6 KSp
. Pr-quiadion		4	, 2	4 KSp

Table 1. Continued.

КС	τ	7	τ	τ	ુેડ્રે
ar,as	<u>-</u>	-	0	0	25 Surfside
ar,as	<u> </u>	_	0	0	7 Tom Nevers Head
ar, as	9	8	9	9	20 row Beach
ar, as	****	· -	0	0	h_d stasconset Beach
ar, as	***	-	0	0	√×Quidnet √×Quidnet
KG'K2W	${\sf T_o}$	0	0	${\sf J}_{\sf o}$	Coskata Inlet/The Haulover"
KC	τ_{o}	0	σŢ	0	у Совката-вавт Веасћ У
KG	-	· <u>-</u>	0	0	Scoatue entro
KSM	_		0	0	Coskata-Inner Trail"
КSЖ	-	-	0	0	у совката Мевт Веасћ
KSW	3	0	ε	ε	The Galls
KSM	8	8	8	L	T) di Great Point
					NANTUCKET
BB	-	.=	0	0	%ें∜ुNomans Land
				•	
rı,яя,га	2	8	7	7	Too Tashmoo, Tisbury
TJ,RR,EJ	τ	ħ	τ	τ	€ robsterville Beach, Gay Head
DS, RR, LT	9	3	9	9	3⊃ Dogfish Bar, Gay Head
TJ,AR,EQ	τ	ε	τ	τ	36Moshaup's Trail Beach, Gay Head
rı,яя,га	; 5	Þ	7	7	3 <pre>Crong Beach/Squibnocket Beach, Chilmark</pre>
Da'BC	τ	ε	τ	τ	Сріїшакк
	1			•	3 4Chilmark Pond-West (Lucy Vincent Beach),
sa	τ, τ	7	τ	τ	33 Chilmark Pond-East, Chilmark
тл,яя,еп	S	8	S	ς	32 Black Point Pond, Chilmark
тл, qги, яя	'SO V	3	Þ	ε	3/ Tisbury Great Pond (Quansoo), Chilmark ^m
⊤ ับ,ЯЯ,≳О	₽ .	9	₽	Þ	30 Edgartown Great Pond, Edgartown
KSp	<u> </u>	6	9	9	<pre>ペリシリ Cape Pogue Elbow, Chappaquiddick</pre>
207000	noo rodo r nann	~~E~~~			
gonkae	data reported	1 Jedged	qunos	conuț	Pocation
	which fledge	сутска	Total	Index) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	No. pairs for	.oM	of pairs	Илшрек	

Table 1. Continued.

Location	<u>Number o</u> Index count	of pairs Total count	No. chicks fledged	No. pairs for which fledge data reported	
Smith Point	3	2			
Eel Point	3	. 3	2	3	TS
	3	3	7	3	KC
Tuckernuck Island Muskeget Island	4 P 4	5 4	7 nd	5 nd	KSm,SM,HDi ML,SM
TOTALS	413	441	690	426	

^{*} Index count = number of territorial pairs counted between 27 May and 4 June 1995, the standardized index count period for the Atlantic Coast population.

b Total count = total number of territorial pairs present during all or a portion of the 1995 breeding season. Pairs that are suspected of nesting at more than 1 site are only tallied once in regional and state total counts and total numbers of pairs for which fledging data were reported.

 $^{^\}circ$ Fledging is defined as chicks \geq 25 days of age or observed in flight, whichever occurs first.

d Key to sources: AT = April Turner, AW = Anthony Waring, BB = Brad Blodget, BH = Beth Hesse, BL = Brian Long, BR = Brian Reid, CB = Chris Bergh, DP = Diane Pence, DR = David Rimmer, DS = Debra Swanson, DSc = David Scherf, EH = Ed Hoopes, EM = Erin McMichael, ES = Eric Strauss, GN = Glynnis Nakai, HB = Henry Barbour, HD = Helen DeGennaro, HDi = Hanni Dinkeloo, HW = Heidi Wennemer, JC = John Crane, JCa = Jean Cannizzaro, JF = Jennifer Funk, JHa = Jeremy Hatch, JHi = John Hill, JR = Jeff Romaneo, KB = Kimberly Bryan, KC = Karen Combs-Beattie, KJ = Kyle Jones, KSm = Karl Smith, KSp = Karen Spring, LG = Laura Gill, LT = Leah Tofte, MC = Michelle Carley, MG = Mark Genaris, MZ = Margo Zdravkovic, NG = Nat Goddard, RC = Robert Culbert, RD = Robert Deblinger, RR = Ruth Richards, RS = Robert Springfield, SF = Sean Flynn, SH = Scott Hecker, SM = Scott Melvin, SN = Sarah Nicholson, SP = Swede Plaut, SPa = Stephanie Parrot, SS = Susan Scherf, SW = Sharon Ware, TS = Tori Samuel, WL = Wendy Lillie-Hanson.

* Seven pairs that arrived at Crane Beach after the index count are assumed to have held territories earlier in the season on Plum Island or Salisbury Beach and so are tallied only once in total counts and numbers of pairs with fledge data for the North Shore and the state. The total number of pairs on all of Plum Island decreased from 26 to 20 between 5 and 18 June, and another pair was territorial during late May and early June at Salisbury Beach but no nest was found. The late-arriving pairs at Crane Beach included 2 pairs that established territories but did not nest.

nd = no data available.

* Formerly South Beach Island, now reconnected to the mainland near Chatham Light.

h Plover Island was formerly the north end of Nauset Spit; it was accessible by foot from Coast Guard during winter 1993.

Beach at low tide.

One pair that nested unsuccessfully at Coast Guard Beach was believed to have renested at Plover Island. This pair is included in both site totals, but is tallied only once in index counts, total counts, and numbers of pairs with fledge data reported for both the Lower Cape and statewide.

'Includes LeCount Hollow.

Race Point-South Beach includes all the Atlantic Ocean-facing beach of Cape Cod National Seashore from High Head north to the beginning of Race Point Beach proper. This includes locations referenced in previous years as Mission Bell, Frenchie's, Exit 9, and Armstrong Cut.

One pair is believed to have relocated from Harthaven to Sylvia State Beach and is only tallied once in total counts and numbers of pairs with fledge data for Martha's Vineyard and statewide.

m Includes Long Point Wildlife Refuge and Lewis property.

"Coskata West Beach refers to the beach along the Nantucket Sound side of Coskata, from south end of The Galls south and west to the boundary of Costue (was referenced as Coskata-North Beach by Tina Whitman in 1993, and included as part of The Galls by Marcia Litchfield in 1992 and 1991). Coskata-East Beach refers to the inland trial running south and west from Coskata toward Coatue. Coskata-East Beach refers to the beach along the eastern (Atlantic) side of Coskata, including the washover at The Glades. Coskata Inlet is the inlet from Nantucket Harbor into Coskata Pond.

- ° A pair was observed at the Haulover on 23 and 26 May and a single bird was observed there on 31 May and 6 June. We assume this is the same pair that later nested at the large washover near The Glades on Coskata-East Beach. This pair is only tallied once in numbers of pairs with fledge data for Nantucket and the state.
- P SM and HDi observed 4 pairs on 22 May (2 with nests, 1 copulating, 1 courting), plus 3 individual birds feeding, 2 of which seemed to be together and may have been a 5th pair. KSm reported only 3 pairs on 5 June. Four pairs are tallied for the index count and represent a compromise between observations of KS, SM, and HDi.

Table 2. Summary of abundance and productivity of Piping Plovers in Massachusetts, 1986-1995.

Year	Total count	Index count ^b	Mean chicks fledged/pair	No. (% of total) pairs with fledge data
1995	441	413	1.62	426 (97)
1994	352	329	1.80	334 (95)
1993	289	258	1.92	264 (91)
1992	213	207	2.03	206 (97)
1991	160	148	1.72	156 (98)
1990	140	111	1.38	125 (89)
1989	137	-	1.59	123 (90)
1988	134	-	1.29	114 (85)
1987	126	-	1.07	89 (71)
1986	139	-	-	
1985	131	-		-

^{*} Total count = total number of territorial pairs present during all or a portion of the breeding season.

b Index count = total territorial pairs counted during 9-day standardized count period in late May and early June.

Table 3. Summary of Piping Plover abundance (total pairs) and productivity (mean number of chicks fledged per pair) by region of Massachusetts, 1994 and 1995.

			-	
	Total	. pairs		chicks ed/pair
Region	1994	1995	1994	1995
North Shore	39	48	1.92	2.35
South Shore	16	17	2.25	1.88
Upper Cape	54	69	1.61	1.84
Lower Cape	141	165	1.97	1.45
Buzzards Bay	27	33	1.30	1.73
Elizabeth Islands	16	16	1.33	0.57
Martha's Vineyard	35	59	1.77	1.43
Nantucket	17	25	1.41	1.08
Tuckernuck, Muskeget Is.	7	9	2.25	1.40
Total	352	441	1.80	1.62

Table 4. Causes of Piping Plover nest failures in Massachusetts, 1995.

	Number of ne	sts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cause of nest failure	With exclosure	Without exclosure	Total
Abandonment	37	12	49
Overwash/flooding	19ª	24	43
Fox	17	17	34
Unknown predator	3	27	30
Crow	4	8	12
Skunk	2	9	11
Gull	0	3	3
Grackle	1	1	2
	0	2	2
Dog Eggs failed to hatch	3	0	3
	0	1	1
Raccoon	1	0	1
Vandalism	0	1 b	1
Other Unknown	8	18	26°
Total	95	123	218

At one nest, 2 eggs were lost to high tide overwash, and 2 were lost to an unknown avian predator.

b At this nest, the eggs and 1 adult were depredated by unknown predator(s).

[°] Of 5 nests lost to unknown causes on Nashawena Island, several were suspected lost to coyote predation.

Table 5. Suspected causes of Piping Plover nest abandonments in Massachusets, 1995.

	Number of r	ests		_
Cause of nest abandonment	With exclosure	Without exclosure	Total	- .
Adult depredated	6ª	0	6	_
Disturbance from predator or competitor	7 ⁶	1°	8	
Human disturbance	1	0	1	-
Eggs inviable	1	1	2	
Unknown	22 ^d	10°	32	
Total	37	12	49	

^{*} Includes confirmed predation by fox (1) and suspected predation by dog (1), unidentified mammal (1), and unidentified bird (1).

b Includes suspected disturbance caused by coyote (4), fox (2), and combination of fox and territorial killdeer (1).

Suspected disturbance caused by gulls feeding on crabs < 4 ft.
from the nest.</pre>

^d Field reports speculated that abandonments might have been caused by storms with high winds and heavy rain (2) and crows perching on an exclosure (1).

^{*} Field reports speculated that abandonments might have been caused by an unidentified raptor near the nest (1) and harassment by Least Terns nesting all around the nest (1).

Table 6. Effects of predator exclosures on success of Piping Plover nests in Massachusetts, 1995.

	Number of nests (%)					
Fate of nests	With exclosure	Without exclosure				
Successful	258 (77)	79 (44)				
Unsuccessful	76 (23)	99 (56)				
Total	334 (100)	178 (100)				

 $^{^{4}}$ Nests were considered successful if they hatched \geq 1 egg.

Table 7. Effects of predator exclosures on hatching success of Piping Plover eggs in Massachusettts, 1995.

Fate of eggs With exclosure Without exclosure Hatched 901 (71) 251 (42) Depredated/ failed* 372 (29) 347 (58) Total 1,273 (100) 598 (100)		Number of eggs (%)				
Depredated/ failed* 372 (29) 347 (58)	Fate of eggs	With exclosure				
failed ^a 372 (29) 347 (58)	Hatched	901	(71)	251	(42)	
Total 1,273 (100) 598 (100)		372	(29)	347	(58)	
	Total	1,273	(100)	598	(100)	

Not included are 72 eggs from 19 nests with exclosures and 78 eggs from 24 nests without exclosures lost to high tide overwash or flooding from heavy rains.

b Not included are 19 nests with exclosures and 24 nests without exclosures that were lost to tidal overwash or flooding from heavy rains.